

## Short Note

### Evaluation of a Single-Pin, Satellite-Linked Transmitter Deployed on Bottlenose Dolphins (*Tursiops truncatus*) Along the Coast of Georgia, USA

Brian C. Balmer,<sup>1,2</sup> Randall S. Wells,<sup>1</sup> Lori H. Schwacke,<sup>3</sup> Teri K. Rowles,<sup>4</sup>  
Colin Hunter,<sup>5</sup> Eric S. Zolman,<sup>3</sup> Forrest I. Townsend,<sup>6</sup> Barbara Danielson,<sup>7</sup>  
Andrew J. Westgate,<sup>2</sup> William A. McLellan,<sup>2</sup> and D. Ann Pabst<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Chicago Zoological Society, c/o Mote Marine Laboratory, 1600 Ken Thompson Parkway, Sarasota, FL 34236, USA;  
E-mail: bbalmer@mote.org

<sup>2</sup>University of North Carolina Wilmington, Department of Biology and Marine Biology,  
601 S. College Road, Wilmington, NC 28403, USA

<sup>3</sup>Center for Human Health Risks, National Ocean Service, NOAA, 331 Fort Johnson Road, Charleston, SC 29412, USA

<sup>4</sup>National Marine Fisheries Service, NOAA, 1315 East-West Highway, Silver Spring, MD 20901, USA

<sup>5</sup>Sirtrack Tracking Solutions, 8A Goddard Lane, Havelock North, NZ

<sup>6</sup>Bayside Hospital for Animals, 251 Racetrack Road NE, Fort Walton Beach, FL 32547, USA

<sup>7</sup>Michigan State University, Department of Zoology, 203 Natural Sciences Building, East Lansing, MI 48824, USA


Electronic tags using the ARGOS system (CLS, 2008) have proven to be valuable tools in assessing small cetacean movement patterns and habitat use (e.g., Read & Westgate, 1997; Corkeron & Martin, 2004; Klatsky et al., 2007; Balmer et al., 2008; Wells et al., 2008, 2009). While tag design and success rates have varied, problems associated with package size, attachment position on the dorsal fin, and number of attachment pins have, in some cases, shortened the predicted attachment duration or caused adverse impacts to the dorsal fins of the animals (Read & Westgate, 1997; Scott et al., 1990; Balmer et al., 2010). One of the most recent iterations in the evolution of smaller satellite-linked tags for dolphins used a 65 g, side-mounted tag, which attached to the upper third of the dorsal fin with three plastic pins (Balmer et al., 2010). This tag design has been used to determine movement patterns and dive durations in several species of small cetaceans, including bottlenose dolphins (*Tursiops truncatus*) off Bermuda (Klatsky et al., 2007), Risso's dolphins (*Grampus griseus*) in the Gulf of Mexico and Atlantic Ocean (Wells et al., 2009; R. Wells, pers. obs.), rough-toothed dolphins (*Steno bredanensis*) in the Atlantic Ocean (Wells et al., 2008; R. Wells, pers. obs.), and Franciscana dolphins (*Pontoporia blainvillei*) in the Atlantic coastal waters off Argentina (R. Wells, pers. obs.). While this tag design appears to be relatively robust, a recent deployment of the tag demonstrated that the design is not well-suited

for coastal bottlenose dolphins (Balmer et al., 2010). It is generally believed that most dorsal fin packages release after galvanic corrosion of the attachment fasteners allow the attachment pins to fall out, resulting in minimal dorsal fin damage. However, there have been few studies that have provided detailed follow-up monitoring from tag attachment to tag failure. In this case, Balmer et al. (2010) identified that one of the attachment pins sheared prior to fastener corrosion, resulting in tag migration and damage to the dorsal fin as well as changes in the animal's dive behavior. These results motivated the development of a new satellite-linked tag attachment design that would minimize negative impacts to the dorsal fin while maximizing transmitter longevity.

A new prototype satellite-linked transmitter (Kiwisat 202 Cetacean Fin Tag Model K2F161) was developed by Sirtrack (Havelock North, NZ) (Figure 1). It had a mass in air of 37 g and was attached to the trailing edge of the dorsal fin via a modified plastic housing and two semi-rigid, plastic flanges. The tag was secured to the fin with a single, 0.64-cm (¼") Delrin pin with each end threaded for M6 × 1 (¼"-20) non-stainless steel (corrodible) lock-nuts. The nylon ring inside the steel lock-nuts was scored to facilitate pin slide out (and tag loss) once the steel had rusted away. The lock-nuts were tightened to a level in which there was a 1 to 2 mm gap between each plastic flange and the dorsal fin surface. This allowed for limited tag movement and







**Figure 4a.** Z08 with VHF radio transmitter (top) and Kiwisat 202 Cetacean Fin Tag (bottom)



**Figure 5a.** Z22 with VHF radio transmitter (top) and Kiwisat 202 Cetacean Fin Tag (bottom)



**Figure 4b.** Day 64: Z08 with severe migration and heavy biofouling of satellite-linked transmitter



**Figure 5b.** Day 20: Z22 with no migration and no biofouling of satellite-linked transmitter



**Figure 4c.** Day 77: Z08 without satellite-linked transmitter



**Figure 5c.** Day 71: Z22 with satellite-linked transmitter

fully healed dorsal fin notch at the location of the former satellite-linked tag.

Z22's satellite-linked tag transmitted for 55 d, while the VHF radio tag transmitted for 20 d. Z22 was observed four times between Day 1 and Day 20. On Day 20, Z22's satellite-linked transmitter showed no migration or biofouling (Figure 5b). Z22 was resighted on Day 71, at which time the

satellite-linked tag showed only slight migration and no biofouling (Figure 5c). This result suggests that battery or electronics failure caused the tag to fail prior to tag loss. Thus, the attachment design was well-matched to the battery life in this configuration; however, for longer deployments, a stronger attachment mechanism may need to be considered. Due to logistical constraints, we were

unable to provide any additional follow-up monitoring of Z22's satellite-linked tag.

The attachment of satellite-linked and VHF radio transmitters enabled follow-up monitoring to assess the impact of the prototype Kiwisat 202 Cetacean Fin Tags and to identify the likely causes of tag loss. All three satellite-linked tags transmitted longer than the 50-d estimate of battery life provided by Sirtrack (Table 1). The modes of failure in all three documented tags were different, with Z22's satellite-linked transmitter failing prior to tag loss. Z04's satellite-linked transmitter was probably lost due to shearing of the Delrin pin, and Z08's satellite-linked tag was apparently lost after it migrated caudally out of the dorsal fin. In both cases, healing at the former tag attachment site was documented: in the case of Z04, only 8 d after tag loss; and in Z08, 39 d post tag loss. Similar modes of tag loss have been observed in VHF radio-tagged individuals during the long-term study of bottlenose dolphins in Sarasota Bay (Scott et al., 1990). Previously tagged Sarasota dolphins have been observed for over 20 y post tagging, suggesting no serious long-term effects.

Although our data are limited, tag loss via pin shear seems to be less traumatic to the dorsal fin tissues than pin migration, at least in terms of healing time. Despite this, the dolphin that did experience pin migration had completely healed in just over 1 mo. While it would be prudent to design tags that could not migrate, given how little we understand about the physical and mechanical interactions between dorsal fins and the things we attach to them, this does not seem likely in the near future, making the present design more appealing. Future studies are necessary to evaluate the effectiveness of different diameter Delrin pins and their effect on tag attachment duration. The results from this study suggest that the single-pin attachment design of the Kiwisat 202 Cetacean Fin Tag is a significant improvement in tagging small cetaceans over the previous multi-pin, side-mount

designs (e.g., Balmer et al., 2010). The 43% weight reduction from earlier side-mount tags, reduction in the number of attachment pins from three to one, and repositioning of the tag attachment from the middle-upper third to the lower trailing edge of the dorsal fin reduced potential damage to major venous regions in the dorsal fin and minimized long-term effects to the tagged individuals. In addition, these satellite-linked transmitters provided location data comparable to other previous satellite-linked tag transmission durations (e.g., Klatsky et al., 2007). With a more relaxed duty cycle and higher repetition rate, the current battery configuration could easily be extended out past 100 d. Investigating different tag construction materials (i.e., more pliable plastics and epoxy molds) and streamlining the tag shape would also likely contribute to improved retention times. Anti-fouling paints on the exterior surface of transmitters may be advantageous to reduce drag and improve tag retention. However, caution must be taken to ensure that the chemicals utilized in anti-fouling paints do not have negative effects on the tagged animal. Future studies are needed to identify the optimal tag attachment location on the trailing edge of the dorsal fin to minimize drag and maximize tag retention.

The capability to provide direct observational monitoring for the life of a satellite-linked transmitter not only provides additional details of movement patterns for the individual animal, but it also increases our knowledge of how to improve current tag designs (Hays et al., 2007). Future research is necessary to refine this new design and determine its success on other small cetacean species as well as on bottlenose dolphins in different habitats.

#### NOAA Disclaimer

This publication does not constitute an endorsement of any commercial product or intend to be an opinion beyond scientific or other results obtained

**Table 1.** Satellite-linked and radio tracking summaries for three dolphins tagged along the Georgia coast

Dolphin ID	Sex	Length (cm)	Age	Tagging date	# of days transmitting (satellite)	# of days transmitting (radio)	Reason for tag failure (satellite)	Reason for tag failure (radio)
Z04	M	241	16	5 Aug 2009	57	65	Delrin pin sheering or nut loss	Migration
Z08	M	257	27	6 Aug 2009	71	28	Migration and biofouling	Migration
Z22	M	251	32	11 Aug 2009	55	20	Battery or electronic failure	Delrin pin sheering or nut loss
				<b>Mean ± S.D.</b>	<b>61 ± 9</b>	<b>37 ± 24</b>		

by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA). No reference shall be made to NOAA, or this publication furnished by NOAA, to any advertising or sales promotion which would indicate or imply that NOAA recommends or endorses any proprietary product mentioned herein, or which has as its purpose an interest to cause the advertised product to be used or purchased because of this publication.

### Acknowledgments

This research was funded by NOAA Fisheries. It was conducted under NMFS Scientific Research Permit Number 932-1905/MA-009526 and IACUC Permit Number HQ-2009-001. We would like to thank Chris Kochanny and the rest of the staff of Sirtrack for their hard work in tag development, Wayne McFee for teeth aging, as well as all of the participants in the capture-release health assessments.

### Literature Cited

- Balmer, B. C., Schwacke, L. H., & Wells, R. S. (2010). Linking dive behavior to satellite-linked tag condition for a bottlenose dolphin (*Tursiops truncatus*) along Florida's northern Gulf of Mexico coast. *Aquatic Mammals*, 36(1), 1-8. doi:10.1578/AM.36.1.2010.1
- Balmer, B. C., Wells, R. S., Nowacek, S. M., Nowacek, D. P., Schwacke, L. H., McLellan, W. A., Scharf, F. S., et al. (2008). Seasonal abundance and distribution patterns of common bottlenose dolphins (*Tursiops truncatus*) near St. Joseph Bay, Florida, USA. *Journal of Cetacean Research and Management*, 10, 157-167.
- CLS. (2008). *ARGOS user's manual 2007-2008*. Landover, MD: Service Argos, Inc. 176 pp.
- Corkeron, P. J., & Martin, A. R. (2004). Ranging and diving behaviour of two "offshore" bottlenose dolphins, *Tursiops* sp., off eastern Australia. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom*, 84, 465-468. doi:10.1017/S0025315404009464h
- Hays, G. C., Bradshaw, C. J. A., James, M. C., Lovell, P., & Sims, D. W. (2007). Why do ARGOS satellite tags deployed on marine mammals stop transmitting? *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, 349, 52-60. doi:10.1016/j.jembe.2007.04.016
- Hohn, A. A., Scott, M. D., Wells, R. S., Sweeney, J. C., & Irvine, A. B. (1989). Growth layers in teeth from free-ranging, known-age bottlenose dolphins. *Marine Mammal Science*, 5, 315-342. doi:10.1111/j.1748-7692.1989.tb00346.x
- Kannan, K., Maruya, K. A., & Tanabe, S. (1997). Distribution and characterization of polychlorinated biphenyl congeners in soil and sediments from a Superfund site contaminated with Aroclor 1268. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 31, 1483-1488. doi:10.1021/es960721r
- Kannan, K., Nakata, H., Stafford, R., Masson, G., Tanabe, S., & Giesy, J. P. (1998). Bioaccumulation and toxic potential of extremely hydrophobic polychlorinated biphenyl congeners in biota collected at a Superfund site contaminated with Aroclor 1268. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 32, 1214-1221. doi:10.1021/es9709435
- Klatsky, L. J., Wells, R. S., & Sweeney, J. C. (2007). Offshore bottlenose dolphins (*Tursiops truncatus*): Movement and dive behavior near the Bermuda Pedestal. *Journal of Mammalogy*, 88, 59-66. doi:10.1644/05-MAMM-A-365R1.1
- Maruya, K., & Lee, R. (1998). Aroclor 1268 and toxaphene in fish from a southeastern U.S. estuary. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 32, 1069-1075. doi:10.1021/es970809k; doi:10.1021/es9820011
- Maruya, K., Walters, T., & Manning, R. (2001). Residues of toxaphene in fin- and shellfish from Terry/Dupree Creek, Georgia, U.S.A. *Estuaries*, 24, 585-596. doi:10.2307/1353259
- Pulster, E. L., Smalling, K. L., & Maruya, K. A. (2005). Polychlorinated biphenyls and toxaphene in preferred prey fish of coastal southeastern U.S. bottlenose dolphins (*Tursiops truncatus*). *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*, 24, 3128-3136. doi:10.1897/05-156R.1
- Read, A. J., & Westgate, A. J. (1997). Monitoring the movements of harbour porpoises (*Phocoena phocoena*) with satellite telemetry. *Marine Biology*, 130, 315-322. doi:10.1007/s002270050251
- Scott, M. D., Wells, R. S., Irvine, A. B., & Mate, B. R. (1990). Tagging and marking studies on small cetaceans. In J. S. Leatherwood & R. R. Reeves (Eds.), *The bottlenose dolphin* (pp. 489-514). San Diego: Academic Press.
- Wells, R. S., Early, G. A., Gannon, J. G., Lingenfelter, R. G., & Sweeney, P. (2008). *Tagging and tracking of rough-toothed dolphins* (*Steno bredanensis*) from the March 2005 mass stranding in the Florida Keys (NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-SEFSC-574). 44 pp.
- Wells, R. S., Manire, C. A., Smith, D., Gannon, J. G., Fauquier, D., & Mullin, K. D. (2009). Movements and dive patterns of a rehabilitated Risso's dolphin, *Grampus griseus*, in the Gulf of Mexico and Atlantic Ocean. *Marine Mammal Science*, 25, 420-429. doi:10.1111/j.1748-7692.2008.00251.x
- Wells, R. S., Rhinehart, H. L., Hansen, L. J., Sweeney, J. C., Townsend, F. I., Stone, R., Casper, D. R., et al. (2004). Bottlenose dolphins as marine ecosystem sentinels: Developing a health monitoring system. *EcoHealth*, 1, 246-254. doi:10.1007/s10393-004-0094-6